Case 5:05-cr-00217-FJS Document 132 Filed 02/16/06 Page 1 of 2 \[\begin{align*} \lambda \cools \\ \extit{Jacobs} \\ \extit{S} \\ \ext

Attorneys at Law

The Madison Building 31 Lake Street, PO Box 159 Stamford, New York 12167 Telephone: (607) 652-7511 Fax: (607) 652-9176*

(Please forward all replies to Staniford Address)
Elias H. Jacobs (1979)
Michael A. Jacobs

1060 Main Street Margaretville, New York 12455 Telephone: (845) 586-5500 Fax: (845) 586-5502*

Patrick J. Cannon

February 14, 2006

Hon. Frederick J. Scullin, Chief Judge United States District Court Northern District of New York James Hanley Federal Building PO Box 7255 Syracuse, NY 13261-7255

Re:

United States v. Gerald Thomas, Jr., et al.

05-CR-217 (FJS)

Dear Judge Scullin:

We are the attorneys for the defendant, Gerald Thomas, Jr., in the above entitled criminal action. As you are aware, pre-trial and supplemental pre-trial motions have been made and argued, and a decision is pending. In the meantime, the Government served additional disclosure material and obtained a superceding indictment. As a result, additional supplemental pre-trial motions were made. These have not yet been argued. Now, the government has obtained a second superceding indictment.

Under these circumstances, we request an opportunity to make additional pre-trial motions addressed to the recently disclosed material and to the superceding indictment. We also request that the trial date be moved back to early July, 2006 in order to accommodate these requests. We understand that the co-defendant, Gerald Thomas, Sr., already lost his job so that any delay would not affect that issue.

I have discussed these matters with Assistant United States Attorney Broton. He has no objection to allowing for time to make additional motions, but he says that those motions should be limited to just the new material in the second superceding indictment. We respectfully disagree.

The government's conduct in this matter has been tardy. There was no need for any superceding indictment, and certainly no need for any second superceding indictment. The original indictment was filed on May 5, 2005. The latest date mentioned in the second superceding indictment is July 18, 2003, and co-defendant, Gregory Thomas, had already been tried and

*Official service of legal documents as prescribed by law shall not be completed upon the undersigned by use of this FAX number except with prior written permission of the undersigned.

Hon. Frederick J. Scullin, Chief Judge

February 13, 2006

convicted in New York State court for crimes arising out of the same series of transactions. Presumably, all of the investigatory product generated before and during that prosecution was readily available to the government prior to the first indictment in this case. So, one must ask, "What did the government know when they obtained the second superceding indictment that they didn't know, or could not, with the exercise of reasonable diligence, have known when the obtained the first superceding indictment?" Likewise, "What did the government know when they obtained the first superceding indictment that they didn't know, or could not, with the exercise of reasonable diligence, have known when the obtained the original |indictment?"

The answers to both questions seems to be, "Nothing." So, what was the need for three indictments in the same case? The obvious answer is to prolong the proceedings and prejudice the defendants. Disposition by trial is delayed, the expenses of defense are increased, the defendants and their attorneys are worn down, resistance to the government's will is eroded, a plea on the government's terms is made more likely, and the prosecution is more likely to succeed regardless of the underlying merit, or lack of merit, of the government's case.

I have discussed this request with Richard B. Spinney, Esq., the attorney representing defendant Gerald Thomas, Sr. He has no objection to the relief requested. Due to the unfortunate situation evidenced by Attorney Madison's latest motion, I have not discussed it with George F. Hildebrandt, Esq., the newly appointed attorney for defendant, Gregory Thomas. I can't see how this brief delay would prejudice Gregory, since Mr. Hildebrandt, like any attorney new to this Requestus Flaated upart and Benua en part. Please rejuto scheduling notice for new deadures case, will need time to get up to speed, anyway.

Thank you for your consideration.

Very/truly yours,

Michael A. Jacobs

Edward R. Broton, Esq. cc:

Assistant United States Attorney US Attorney's Office, NDNY United States Attorney's Office 100 South Clinton Street, PO Box 7198

Syracuse, NY 13261-7198

Richard B. Spinney, Esq. Attorney at Law 74 Main Street Stamford, NY 12167

FREDERICK + SCULLIN. JR.

SO ORDERED

George F. Hildebrandt, Esq.

Attorney at Law

The Crown Building

304 South Franklin Street

Syracuse, NY 13202

Mr. Gregory Thomas Defendant, Pro \$e

Jamesville Correctional Facility

PO Box 143

Jamesville, NY 13078